

Majorization for Certain Classes of Analytic Functions Defined by Generalized Fractional Calculus Operators

Pranay Goswami¹ *, Bhavna Shrama²

¹Department of Mathematics , AMITY University Rajasthan, Jaipur-303002, India

²Department of Mathematics, Balaji Institute of Engineering and Technology, Jaipur-302022, India

(Received 23 December 2011, accepted 26 April 2013)

Abstract: In this paper, we investigate a majorization problem involving starlike multivalent function of complex order belonging to a certain subclasses of multivalent function defined by generalized fractional calculus operator. Moreover, we point out some new or known consequences of our main result.

Keywords: Analytic functions; Multivalent functions; Starlike functions; Subordination; Fractional calculus operators; Majorization property

2000 MSC: Primary 30C45; Secondary 26A33.

1 Introduction

Let f and g be analytic in the open unit disk

$$\Delta = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}. \tag{1.1}$$

We say that f is majorized by g in Δ (see [1]) and write

$$f(z) \ll g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta), \tag{1.2}$$

if there exists a function φ , analytic in Δ such that

$$|\varphi(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f(z) = \varphi(z)g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{1.3}$$

It may be noted here that (1.2) is closely related to the concept of quasi-subordination between analytic functions.

For two functions f and g , analytic in Δ , we say that the function f is subordinate to g in Δ , and we write

$$f(z) \prec g(z),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function ω , which is analytic in Δ with

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in Δ , then we have the following equivalence,

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta).$$

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: pranaygoswami83@gmail.com, vallabhi.2007@yahoo.com

Let \mathcal{A}_p denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \tag{1.4}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk Δ . For simplicity, we write $\mathcal{A}_1 =: \mathcal{A}$.

In the following, we recall the Definition of generalized fractional derivative.

Definition 1.1 ([14], see also [11],[13]). *Let $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $\mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{z^{\lambda-\mu}}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \int_0^z (z-t)^{-\lambda} {}_2F_1\left(\mu-\lambda, 1-\eta; 1-\lambda; 1-\frac{t}{z}\right) f(t) dt \right), \tag{1.5}$$

where the function is analytic in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing the origin, with the order $f(z) = O(|z|^\varepsilon)(z \rightarrow 0)$, and $\varepsilon > \max\{0, \mu - \eta\} - 1$.

It is understood that $(z-t)^{-\lambda}$ denotes the principal value for $0 \leq \arg(z-t) < 2\pi$. The function occurring in the right-hand side of (1.5) is the familiar Gaussian hypergeometric function.

Definition 1.2 ([12]). *Under the hypothesis of Definition 1.1, a fractional calculus operator $J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m}$ is defined by,*

$$J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m} f(z) = \frac{d^m}{dz^m} J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \quad (z \in \Delta; m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}). \tag{1.6}$$

We observe that

$$D_z^\lambda f(z) = J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\lambda,\eta} f(z) \quad (0 \leq \lambda < 1), \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$D_z^{\lambda+m} f(z) = J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\eta+m,\eta+m} f(z) \quad (0 \leq \lambda < 1; m \in \mathbb{N}_0), \tag{1.8}$$

where $D_z^{\lambda+m} f(z)$ is the well known fractional derivative operator (see [14] and many others). Furthermore, in terms of Gamma functions Definition 1.1, readily yields

Lemma 1.1. (Srivastava et al. [15]). *If $0 \leq \lambda < 1$; $\mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k > \max\{0, \mu - \eta\} - 1$, then*

$$J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} z^k = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k-\mu+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(k-\mu+1)\Gamma(k-\lambda+\eta+1)} z^{k-\mu}.$$

Definition 1.3. *A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be in the class of $M_{p,m}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}[A, B, \gamma]$, of p -valent function of complex order $\gamma \neq 0$ in Δ if and only if*

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} f(z)}{J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m} f(z)} - (p - \mu - m) \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \tag{1.9}$$

($z \in \Delta, p \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \mu < 1$ and $\eta > \max(\lambda, \mu) - p - 1$)

Clearly we have the following relationship.

1. $M_{p,m}^{\lambda,\lambda,\eta}[A, B; \gamma] \equiv M_{p,m}^{\lambda,\eta}[A, B; \gamma]$ where $M_{p,m}^{\lambda,\eta}[A, B; \gamma]$ represents the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfying the condition

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z D_z^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D_z^\lambda f(z)} - (p - \mu - m) \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$$

2. $M_{1,0}^{0,0,\eta}[A, B; \gamma] \equiv S^*[A, B; \gamma]$

3. $M_{1,0}^{1,1,\eta}[1, -1; \gamma] \equiv K(\gamma)$

4. $M_{1,0}^{0,0,\eta}[1, -1; \gamma] \equiv S(\gamma)$

5. $M_{1,0}^{0,0,\eta}[1, -1; 1 - \alpha] \equiv S^*(\alpha)$

The class $S^*[A, B; \gamma]$ is studied by Polatoglu [10], which is a well known class of starlike function. The classes $S(\gamma)$ and $K(\gamma)$ are classes of starlike and convex of complex order $\gamma \neq 0$ in Δ which were considered by Naser and Aouf [8], and Wiatrowski [16]. The class of starlike functions of order $S^*(\alpha)$ in Δ .

A majorization problem for the class $S(\gamma)$ has recently been investigated by Altinas *et al.* [1]. Also, majorization problems for the class $S^* = S^*(0)$ have been investigated by MacGregor [7]. Further, majorization problem for different different classes have been studied by Goyal and Goswami [5], Goyal *et al.* [6], Goswami and Aouf [2], Goswami and Wang [3] and Goswami *et al.*[4]. In the present paper, we investigate a majorization problem for the class $M_{p,m}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}[A, B, \gamma]$.

2 Majorization problem for the class $M_{p,m}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}[A, B, \gamma]$.

We begin by proving

Theorem 2.1. Let the function $f \in A_p$ and suppose that $g \in M_{p,m}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}[A, B, \gamma]$. If $J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m} f(z)$ is majorized by $J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m} g(z)$ in Δ , then

$$\left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} f(z) \right| \leq \left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} g(z) \right| \quad (2.1)$$

for $|z| = r_1$, where r_1 is the smallest positive root of the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} & |\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \mu + m)| r^3 - (|p - \mu - m| + 2B) r^2 \\ & - (|\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \mu + m)| + 2)r + |p - \mu - m| = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $(z \in \Delta, p \in \mathbb{N}, m, j \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \mu < 1, |p - \mu - m| \geq |\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \mu + m)|$ and $\eta > \max(\lambda, \mu) - p - 1$)

Proof. Since $g \in M_{p,m}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}[A, B, \gamma]$, we have

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} g(z)}{J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m} g(z)} - (p - \mu - m) \right) = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} \quad (2.3)$$

We note that $w(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \in \mathcal{P}$, where \mathcal{P} denotes the well-known class of bounded analytic function in Δ (see [9]) and satisfies the conditions

$$w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| \leq |z| \text{ (} z \in \Delta \text{)}$$

From (2.3), we get

$$\frac{z J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} g(z)}{J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m} g(z)} = \frac{w(z) \{ \gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \mu + m) \} + (p - \mu - m)}{1 + Bw(z)}, \quad (2.4)$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m} g(z) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|z|(1+B|z|)}{[|(p-\mu-m)|-|z|][|\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \mu + m)|]} \left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} g(z) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Next, since $J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m} f(z)$ is majorized by $J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m} g(z)$ i.e.

$$J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m} f(z) = \phi(z) J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m} g(z)$$

Differentiating the above equation with respect to 'z', we get

$$J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} f(z) = \phi'(z) J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m} g(z) + \phi(z) J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} g(z). \quad (2.6)$$

Since $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfies the inequality (see eg. Nehari [9])

$$|\phi'(z)| \leq \frac{1 - |\phi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2}, \text{ (} z \in \Delta \text{)},$$

by using it in (2.6), we easily get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} f(z) \right| &\leq \frac{1 - |\phi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m,\mu+m,\eta+m} g(z) \right| \\ &+ |\phi(z)| \left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} g(z) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.5) in above equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} f(z) \right| &\leq \left[\frac{1 - |\phi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \right. \\ &\left. \frac{|z|(1+B|z|)}{((p-\mu-m)|-z|(\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \mu + m)))} \right] + |\phi(z)| \left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} g(z) \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Let $|\phi(z)| = \rho$ ($0 \leq \rho \leq 1$) and $|z| = r$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} f(z) \right| &\leq \frac{\psi(r,\rho)}{(1-r^2)((p-\mu-m)|-r(\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \mu + m))|)} \left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} g(z) \right| \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(r,\rho) &= -\rho^2 r(1 + Br) + \rho[(1 - r^2)\{(p - \mu - m)| \\ &-r(\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \mu + m))|\}] + r(1 + Br). \end{aligned}$$

Now we have to prove

$$\left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} f(z) \right| \leq \left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1,\mu+m+1,\eta+m+1} g(z) \right|$$

To prove it, it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{\psi(\rho)}{(1 - r^2)[(p - \mu - m)|-r(\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \mu + m))|]} \leq 1,$$

which is equivalent

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \rho)[-(1 + \rho)r(1 + Br) + (1 - r^2)\{(p - \mu - m)| \\ -r(\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \mu + m))|\}] \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

this implies

$$\begin{aligned} u(r,\rho) &= [(1 - r^2)\{(p - \mu - m)|-r(\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \mu + m))|\}] \\ &- (1 + \rho)r(1 + Br)] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

while the function $u(r,\rho)$ takes its minimum values at $\rho = 1$, i.e.

$$\min\{u(r,\rho) : \rho \in [0, 1]\} = u(r, 1) = v(r),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v(r) &= |\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \mu + m)| r^3 - (|p - \mu - m| + 2B) r^2 \\ &- (|\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \mu + m)| + 2) r + |p - \mu - m| \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $v(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in [0, r_1]$, where r_1 is the smallest positive root of the equation given by (2.2). ■

Upon setting $\lambda = \mu$, we get

Corollary 2.1. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ and suppose that $g \in M_p^\lambda[A, B; \gamma]$. If $D_{0,z}^{\lambda+m} g(z)$ is majorized by $D_{0,z}^{\lambda+m} g(z)$ in the unit disk Δ , then

$$\left| D_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1} f(z) \right| \leq \left| D_{0,z}^{\lambda+m+1} g(z) \right| \quad \text{for } |z| \leq r_2$$

where r_2 is the smallest positive root of the following equation,

$$|\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \lambda + m)| r^3 - (|p - \lambda - m| + 2B) r^2$$

$$-(|\gamma A - B(\gamma - p + \lambda + m)| + 2)r + |p - \mu - m| = 0$$

$$(z \in \Delta, p \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \\ \text{and } \eta > \max \lambda - p - 1).$$

Putting $\lambda = \mu = m = 0$ and $p = 1$, we have

Corollary 2.2. $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ and suppose that $g \in S^*[A, B; \gamma]$. If $f(z)$ is majorized by $g(z)$, then

$$|f'(z)| \leq |g'(z)| \quad \text{for } |z| \leq r_3,$$

where r_3 is the smallest positive root of the following equation,

$$|\gamma A - B(\gamma - 1)|r^3 - (1 + 2B)r^2 - (|\gamma A - B(\gamma - 1)| + 2)r + 1 = 0.$$

Remarks :

- (i) Putting $\lambda = \mu = m = p = 1$, and $A = 1, B = -1$, we have a known result obtain by Altinas et al. [1],
- (ii) Putting $\lambda = m = 0, \mu = p = 1$ and $A = 1, B = -1$, we have the known result obtained by Mac-Gregor [7].

References

- [1] O. Altinas, O. Ozkan and H. M. Srivastava, Majorization by starlike functions of complex order, *Complex var*, 46(2001):207-218.
- [2] P. Goswami and M. K. Aouf, Majorization properties for certain classes of analytic functions using the Salagean operator. *Appl. Math. Lett*, 23(11)(2010):1351-1354
- [3] P. Goswami and Z.-G. Wang, Majorization for certain classes of analytic functions, *Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform.*, (21)(2010):97-104.
- [4] P. Goswami, B. Sharma and T. Bulboaca, Majorization for certain classes of analytic functions using multiplier transformation. *Appl. Math. Lett*, 23(5)(2010):633-637.
- [5] S. P. Goyal and P. Goswami, Majorization for certain classes of analytic functions defined by fractional derivatives, *Appl. Math. Lett*, 22(12)(2009):1855-1858.
- [6] S. P. Goyal, S. K. Bansal and P. Goswami, Majorization for the subclass of analytic functions defined by linear operators using differential subordination. *J. Appl. Math. Stat. Informatics (JAMSI)*, 6(2)(2010):45-50.
- [7] T. H. MacGregor, Majorization by univalent functions, *Duke Math. J*, 34(1967):95-102.
- [8] M.A. Naser and M.K. Aouf, Starlike function of complex order, *J. Natur. Sci. Math*, 25(1985):1-12.
- [9] Z. Nehari, *Conformal mapping*, MacGra-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto and London, (1955).
- [10] Y.Polatoglu, M.Bolcal and A. Sen, The radius of starlikeness for convex functions of complex order, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci*, (45)(2004):2423-2428.
- [11] R. K. Raina and I. B. Bapna, Inequalities defining certain subclasses of analytic and multivalent functions involving fractional calculus operator, *J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math*, 5(2)(2005):28.
- [12] R. K. Raina and C. H. Choi, Some results connected with a subclass of analytic functions involving certain fractional calculus operators, *J. Fracl. Cal*, 23(2003):19-25.
- [13] R.K. Raina and H. M. Srivastava, A certain subclass of analytic functions associated with operators of fractional calculus, *Comput. Math. Appl*, 32(1996):13-19.
- [14] H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa (Eds.), Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications, *Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester, John Wiley and Sons, New York*, 1989.
- [15] H.M. Srivastava, M.Saigo and S. Owa, A class of distortion theorems involving certain operators of fractional calculus, *J. Math. Anal. Appl*, 131(1988):412-420.
- [16] P. Wiatrowski, On the coefficients of some family of holomorphic functions, *Zeszyry Nauk. Uniw. Lddz. Nauk. Mat.-Przyrod*, 39(1970):75-85.