

The Property of Operators in a Non-Wandering C_0 -Semigroup

Jianmei Zhang *

Department of Mathematics, Jiangsu University Nonlinear Scientific Research Center, Jiangsu University Zhenjiang,
Jiangsu, 212013, P.R. China

(Received 28 June 2012, accepted 26 May 2013)

Abstract: In this paper, we studied the property of operators in a non-wandering semigroup on Banach space. We obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions that discrete semigroup is non-wandering. By a new method, a sufficient conditions for strongly continuous semigroup being non-wandering is given.

Keywords: non-wandering operator; semigroup; discrete semigroup; continuous semigroup; strongly continuous semigroup

1 Introduction

In the infinite-dimensional linear space, chaotic operators, supercyclic operators and Hypercyclic operators have been intensively studied recently. In 1929, Birkhoff firstly introduced the concept of hypercyclic operators [2]. From then on, mathematics from all over the world have great interests in this field. For more details about hypercyclic operators see the survey [3,4,5].

In 1996, Lixin Tian and Diancheng Lu introduced the concept of non-wandering operators [6]. They are new linear chaotic operators and are relative to hypercyclic operators, but different from them. For more details about non-wandering operators see the survey [8,11,12].

The investigation of hypercyclic semigroups was initiated by Desh, Schappacher and Webb in [7]. In 2007, Jose A.C onjero, V.Muller, A.Peris studied the hypercyclic behavior of operators in a hypercyclic strong continuous semigroups [1].

In 2002, Xun Liu introduced non-wandering semigroups [9-10]. In his paper, he mainly introduced continuous semigroup. We can extend this definition to discrete semigroup. It is to easy to see that if T is a non-wandering operators, then the discrete semigroup $(T^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is non-wandering. And the converse situation is affirmative (if the discrete semigroup $(T^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a non-wandering operators, then T is non-wandering). In continuous semigroup, even more strongly continuous semigroup, the relative result is not so easy. In our paper, we introduce that if $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup (or C_0 -semigroup) of operators in $L(X)$ and some operator T_{t_0} ($t_0 > 0$) in the semigroup is nonwandering, then the semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ itself is nonwandering.

2 Basic notations and definitions

Given an infinite-dimensional separable real or complex Banach space $(X, \|\bullet\|)$, we denote by $L(X)$ the set of all bounded linear operators in X equipped with the operator norm $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Tx\|$.

We will refer to N, Z, Q, R, R_+, C and K as the sets of positive integers, integers, rational numbers, and the real (positive real), complex scalar fields and real number field or complex number field, respectively.

Definition 1 Suppose $T \in L(X)$ and $x \in X$, for any neighborhood $U(x)$, if there exists $k \in N$ such that $T^k(U(x)) \cap U(x) \neq \emptyset$, then x is called nonwandering point of T .

Definition 2 Suppose $E \subset X$ is a closed linear subspace of X , and $E_1 \subset E, E_2 \subset E$ are also closed linear subspaces in X . For arbitrary $x \in E$, if there is a unique decomposition such that $x = x_1 + x_2, x_1 \in E_1, x_2 \in E_2, E_1 \cap E_2 = \{0\}$, then E is called the direct sum of E_1 and E_2 , and written as $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$, where \oplus represents direct sum.

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: leo_zsc@ujs.edu.cn

Definition 3 Suppose $T \in L(X)$.

(1) Assume that there exists a closed subspace $E \subset X$, which has hyperbolic structure: $E = E_u \oplus E_s, TE_s = E_s, TE_u = E_u$, where E_u, E_s are closed subspaces. In addition, there exist constants $\tau (0 < \tau < 1)$ and $c > 0$, such that for any $x_u \in E_u, k \in N, \|T^k x_u\| \geq c\tau^{-k} \|x_u\|$, and for any $x_s \in E_s, k \in N, \|T^k x_s\| \leq c\tau^k \|x_s\|$;

(2) Assume also that $Per(T)$ is dense in E . (i.e. $\overline{per(T)} = E$);
Then T is said to be a nonwandering operator relative to E .

From definition 1 and definition 3, we can obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 1 If T is a nonwandering operator relative to E , then for any $x \in E$ is a nonwandering point of T .

Proof. Since T is a nonwandering, for any $x \in E = \overline{per(T)}$, there exist $\{x_n\} \subset per(T)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, and for all n , there exist $k_n \in N$ such that $T^{k_n} x_n = x_n$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $n_0 \in N$ such that $x_{n_0} \in U(x, \varepsilon)$.

We have

$$x_{n_0} \in T^{k_{n_0}}(U(x, \varepsilon)) \cap U(x, \varepsilon).$$

i.e.

$$T^{k_{n_0}}(U(x, \varepsilon)) \cap U(x, \varepsilon) \neq \phi$$

So x is a non-wandering point of T . ■

Definition 4 A non-empty subset $A \subseteq L(X)$ is called a semigroup if

$$T, S \in A \Rightarrow T \circ S \in A \quad (\forall T, S \in A).$$

A semigroup A is called abelian if

$$T \circ S = S \circ T \quad (\forall T, S \in A).$$

Remark 2 we shall study mainly operator semigroups indexed by non-negative integers or non-negative reals (we call them one-parameter semigroups). Obviously, any one-parameter semigroup is abelian.

Definition 5 If a semigroup is indexed by R_+ , we call it is continuous. Let us use the notation $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$; If a semigroup is indexed by N , we call it is discrete. Let us use the notation $\{T^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, generated by a single operator T .

In the following, we introduced detailed definition of C_0 -semigroup:

Definition 6 In the continuous case, a one-parameter family $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of continuous linear operators in $L(X)$ is a strongly continuous semigroup (or C_0 -semigroup) of operators in $L(X)$ if $T_0 = I, T_t T_s = T_{t+s}$ for all $t, s \geq 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow s} T_t x = T_s x$ for all $s \geq 0, x \in X$.

Definition 7 A one-parameter semigroup $\{T^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $L(X)$ is nonwandering relative to E if E has hyperbolic structure: $E = E_u \oplus E_s, T^n E_s = E_s, T^n E_u = E_u$, where E_u, E_s are closed subspaces. In addition, there exist constants $\tau (0 < \tau < 1)$ and $c > 0$, such that for any $x_u \in E_u, \|T^n x_u\| \geq c\tau^{-n} \|x_u\|$, and for any $x_s \in E_s, \|T^n x_s\| \leq c\tau^n \|x_s\|$ for all $n \in N$; and if $per(T^n) = E$. where $per(T^n)$ be the set of all period points, i.e., if $x \in per(T^n)$, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $T^{n_0} x = x$.

Definition 8 A one-parameter semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $L(X)$ is nonwandering relative to E if E has hyperbolic structure: $E = E_u \oplus E_s, T_t E_s = E_s, T_t E_u = E_u$, where E_u, E_s are closed subspaces. In addition, there exist constants $\tau (0 < \tau < 1)$ and $c > 0$, such that for any $x_u \in E_u, \|T_t x_u\| \geq c\tau^{-t} \|x_u\|$, and for any $x_s \in E_s, \|T_t x_s\| \leq c\tau^t \|x_s\|$ for all $t \geq 0$; and if $per(\overline{T_t}) = E$, where $per(T_t)$ be the set of all period points, i.e., if $x \in per(T_t)$, there exists $t > 0$ such that $T_t x = x$.

3 Main results

From the definition of nonwandering discrete semigroup and nonwandering operator, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3 The discrete semigroup $\{T^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is nonwandering relative to E if and only if operator T is nonwandering relative to E .

Theorem 4 let $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup (or C_0 -semigroup) of operators in $L(X)$, and $E = E_u \oplus E_s$, $T_t E_s = E_s$, $T_t E_u = E_u$ for all $t \geq 0$, where E_u, E_s are closed subspaces, and some operator T_{t_0} ($t_0 > 0$) in the semigroup is nonwandering, then the semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ itself is nonwandering.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $t_0 = 1$. Indeed, we can consider the semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $L(X)$, with $\tilde{T}_t := T_{tt_0}$ for every $t \geq 0$. Clearly, $T_1 := T_{t_0}$.

Let T_1 be a non-wandering operator relative to E , we have E has hyperbolic structure :

$$E = E_u \oplus E_s, T_1 E_s = E_s, T_1 E_u = E_u,$$

where E_u, E_s are closed subspaces.

In addition, there exist constants τ ($0 < \tau < 1$) and $c > 0$, such that for any $x_u \in E_u, k \in N, \|T_1^k x_u\| \geq c\tau^{-k} \|x_u\|$, and for any

$$x_s \in E_s, k \in N, \|T_1^k x_s\| \leq c\tau^k \|x_s\|;$$

And $\text{per}(T_1)$ is dense in E .

For any $t \geq 0$, we have $t = t' + k$, where $t' \in [0, 1], k \in N$.

So we firstly consider $t \in [0, 1]$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow s} T_t x = T_s x$ for all $s \geq 0, x \in X$, so we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow s} \|T_t\| = \|T_s\|$.

$f(t) = \|T_t\|$ is continuous in $[0, +\infty)$. There exists constant $M > 0$ which satisfies $\|T_t\| \leq M$, for any $t \in [0, 1]$.

$$\|T_t x_s\| \leq \|T_t\| \|x_s\| \leq M \|x_s\| = \frac{M}{\tau} \tau \|x_s\| \leq c_1 \tau^t \|x_s\|,$$

where $c_1 = \frac{M}{\tau}$.

$$\|T_{1-t}\| \|T_t x_u\| \geq \|T_{1-t} T_t x_u\| = \|T_1 x_u\| \geq c\tau^{-1} \|x_u\|,$$

$$\|T_t x_u\| \geq \frac{c\tau^{-1} \|x_u\|}{\|T_{1-t}\|} \geq \frac{c}{M} \tau^{-1} \|x_u\| \geq c_2 \tau^{-t} \|x_u\|,$$

where $c_2 = \frac{c}{M}$.

Next we consider $t > 1$, then $t = t' + k$, where $t' \in [0, 1], k \in N$, for any $x_s \in E_s$

$$\|T_t x_s\| = \|T_{t'+k} x_s\| \leq c\tau^k \|T_{t'} x_s\| \leq c\tau^k c_1 \tau^{t'} \|x_s\| = c_3 \tau^t \|x_s\|$$

$$\|T_t x_u\| = \|T_{t'+k} x_u\| \geq c\tau^{-k} \|T_{t'} x_u\| \geq c\tau^{-k} c_2 \tau^{-t'} \|x_u\| = c_4 \tau^{-t} \|x_u\|$$

for any $x_u \in E_u$, where $c_3 = cc_1, c_4 = cc_2$.

Let

$$c' = \max \left\{ c_1, \frac{1}{c_2}, c_3, \frac{1}{c_4} \right\},$$

we have

$$\|T_t x_u\| \geq c' \tau^{-t} \|x_u\|,$$

for any $x_u \in E_u$ and

$$\|T_t x_s\| \leq c' \tau^t \|x_s\|,$$

for any $x_s \in E_s$, for all $t \geq 0$.

For all $x \in \text{per}(T_1) = E$, there exist $\{x_n\} \subset \text{per}(T_1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

For all n , there exist $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$T_1^{k_n} x_n = T_{k_n} x_n = x_n.$$

Then for all $n, x_n \in \text{per } \{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. So $x \in \overline{\text{per } \{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}}$. By x is arbitrary, We have

$$\overline{\text{per } \{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}} = E.$$

So $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is nonwandering. ■

Remark 5 The converse situation is not affirmative. In C_0 -semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, we can not obtain $\overline{\text{per } \{T_{t_0}\}} = E$ (for any $t_0 > 0$) from $\overline{\text{per } \{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}} = E$.

Example 1 : Let $T_t x = x e^{it}$, $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a C_0 -semigroup. $\overline{\text{per } \{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}} = E$, but $\text{per } (T_1) = \phi$.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referees for comments and suggestions. This work is supported by the Nature Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (No. 09KJB110003) and the high-level talented person special subsidizes of Jiangsu University (No. 05JDG047).

References

- [1] Jose A. Conejero, V. Muller, A. Peris. Hypercyclic behavior of operators in a hypercyclic C_0 -semigroup. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 244(2007):342-348.
- [2] G.D. Birkhoff. Demonstration dun theoreme elementaire sur les fonctions entieres. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 189(1929):473-475.
- [3] J. Bonet, F. Martinez, A. Peris. linear chaos on Frechet spaces. *Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg.*, 43(7)(2003):1649-1655.
- [4] K.G. Grosse-Erdmann. universal families and hypercyclic operators. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(36)(1999):345-381.
- [5] K.G. Grosse-Erdmann. Recent developments in hypercyclicity. *RACSAM Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A. Mat.*, 97(2)(2003):273-286.
- [6] Tian Lixin, Lu Dianchen. The property of nonwandering operator[J]. *Appl. Math. Mech (English Ed)*, 17(2)(1996):155-161.
- [7] W. Desch, W. Schappacher. G. F. Webb: hypercyclic and chaotic semigroups of linear operators. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 17(1997):1-27.
- [8] Lixin Tian, Jiangbo Zhou, Xun Liu, Guangsheng Zhong. nonwandering operators in banach space. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 24(2005):3895-3908.
- [9] Xun Liu, Lixin Tian. The property of nonwandering semigroups. *Journal of Jiangsu University (Natural Science)*, 23(5)(2002):9-12.
- [10] Huan Qian, Jianmei Zhang. Products of recurrent non-wandering semigroups. *International Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 8(2)(2009):218-222.
- [11] Huan Qian. Recurrent set and stability of non-wandering operation. *International Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 7(1)(2009):108-112.
- [12] Lixin Tian, Minggang Wang. Pseudo orbit tracing property of non-wandering operation. *International Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 1(3)(2007):3-7.